This document contains supplementary materials (Table of reactions and associated notes such as the rationale for the choice of the reaction rate coefficients and literature references) that were too large to be put in the paper entitled:

AN INTRIGUING PHOTOSENSITIZED HETEROGENEOUS SINK OF NITROUS OXIDE:

Sheo S. Prasad Creative Research Enterprises 6354 Camino del Lago, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: <u>ssp@CreativeResearch.org</u> Phone: (+1) 925 426 9341; Fax: (+1) 925 426 9417

The paper is currently under final stages of the Editor's consideration for publication in *Applied Surface Science*.

The theme of the paper is summarized by the following abstract extracted from the paper:

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) seems to be efficiently destroyed at the room temperature on surfaces of quartz or Pyrex photolysis cells exposed simultaneously to UV radiation (254 $_{-}$, 300 nm) and ozone (O₃). Compared to the well-known loss of N₂O on various surfaces (including quartz/sand) at high temperatures the new loss process is intriguing. The intriguing aspect is that a combination of UV photons and a gas, rather than the elevated temperature, was instrumental in the surface loss of N₂O. Further studies of the loss process might reveal potential useful roles of analogous processes in environmental catalysis and atmospheric chemistry.

Table 1. Listing of the Reactions Used in the Present Study

		Reactions				n	٤
		Reactions			\mathbf{A}	11	C
1.	O ₃	+ hv	DO	$+ O_2 v 23$	8.79e-04	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
2.	O ₃	+ hv	DO	$+ O_2 v 22$	1.63e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
3.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 21$	2.53e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
4.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 20$	3.26e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
5.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 19$	3.42e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
6.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 18$	2.89e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
7.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 17$	1.96e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
8.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 16$	1.10e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
9.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 15$	6.57e-04	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
10.	O ₃	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 14$	6.96e-04	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
11.	O ₃	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 13$	1.20e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
12.	O_3	+ hv	* O	$+ O_2 v 12$	2.21e-03	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
13.	O ₃	+ hv	* O	+ $O_2 v < 12$	7.78e-02	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
14.	O ₃	+ hv	* $O(^{1}D)$	$+ O_2$	9.00e-01	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
15.	O ₂ v23	$+ N_2$	$* O_2 v 22$	$+N_{2}^{2}$	8.00e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
16.	O ₂ v22	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v20	$+N_2$	7.00e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
17.	O ₂ v21	$+ N_2^{-}$	* O ₂ v19	$+ N_2$	1.90e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
18.	O ₂ v20	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v18	$+ N_2$	2.30e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
19.	O ₂ v19	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v17	$+ N_2$	2.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
20.	O ₂ v18	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v16	$+ N_2$	2.00e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
21.	O ₂ v17	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v15	$+ N_2$	2.00e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
22.	O ₂ v16	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v14	$+ N_2$	7.74e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
23.	O ₂ v15	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v14	$+ N_2$	8.17e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
24.	O ₂ v14	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v13	$+ N_2$	7.50e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
25.	O ₂ v13	$+ N_2$	* O ₂ v12	$+ N_2$	4.50e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
26.	O ₂ v12	$+ N_2$	* O ₂	$+ N_2$	2.20e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
27.	O ₂ v23	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v22	$+ O_2$	1.20e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
28.	O_2v22	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v21	$+ O_2$	5.40e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
29.	O_2v21	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v20	$+ O_2$	5.80e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
30.	O ₂ v20	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v19	$+ O_2$	3.30e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
31.	O ₂ v19	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v18	$+ O_2$	4.70e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
32.	O_2v18	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v17	$+ O_2$	4.00e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
33.	$O_2 v I 7$	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v16	$+O_2$	8.43e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
34.	O_2v16	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v15	$+ O_2$	9.45e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
35.	O_2v15	$+ O_2$	* O ₂ v14	$+ O_2$	1.34e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00

(Rate Coefficients are in the form $A(300/T)^n exp(-\epsilon/T) cm^3 s^{-1}$, with T as the temperature)

	(1100					5 , min		perature)	
		Reactions					А	n	ε
36.	O ₂ v14	$+ O_2$		DO ₂ v13	$+ O_2$		1.30e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
37.	O ₂ v13	$+ O_2$		DO_2v12	$+ O_2$		1.50e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
38.	O ₂ v12	$+ O_2$		DO_2	$+ O_2$		1.60e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
39.	O ₂ v23	$+ O_3$		DO_2	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
40.	O ₂ v22	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
41.	O ₂ v21	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
42.	O ₂ v20	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
43.	O ₂ v19	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
44.	O ₂ v18	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
45.	O ₂ v17	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
46.	O ₂ v16	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
47.	O ₂ v15	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
48.	O ₂ v14	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
49.	O ₂ v13	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
50.	O ₂ v12	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
51.	O ₂ v23	$+ N_2O$		* NO ₂	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
52.	O ₂ v22	$+ N_2O$		* NO ₂	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
53.	O ₂ v21	$+ N_2O$		* NO ₂	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
54.	$O_2 v20$	$+ N_2 O$		$* NO_2$	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
55.	O ₂ v19	$+ N_2O$		* NO ₂	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
56.	O ₂ v18	$+ N_2O$		* NO ₂	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
57.	$O_2 v 17$	$+ N_2 O$		* NO_2	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
58.	$O_2 v16$	$+ N_2 O$		* NO_2	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
59.	$O_2 v15$	$+ N_2 O$		* NO_2	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
60.	$O_2 v14$	$+ N_2 O$		* NO_2	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
61.	$O_2 v 13$	$+ N_2 O$		* NO_2	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
62.	$O_2 v 12$	$+ N_2 O$		* NO_2	+ NO		1.80e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
63.	$\overline{O_2}$	+ O	$+N_{2}$	* O ₃	$+ N_2$		6.00e-34	2.30e 00	0.00e 00
64.	Ō	+ O	$+N_{2}^{-}$	* O ₂	$+ N_2^{-}$		5.36e-34	1.00e 00	0.00e 00
65.	0	+ O	$+N_{2}^{-}$	$* O_{2}(c)$	$+ N_2^{-}$		2.82e-34	1.00e 00	0.00e 00
66.	O_3	+ O	-	* O ₂	$+ O_2$		2.00e-12	0.00e 00	2.06e 03
67.	O_3	+ O		* 0 ₂	$+ O_2(v=$	=23)	6.00e-12	0.00e 00	2.06e 03
68	$O_2(^1\Lambda)$	$+ N_2$		* 02	$+ N_2$)	1 00e-20	0.00e.00	0.00e.00
69.	$O_2(^1\Lambda)$	$+ \Omega_2$		* 02	$+ \Omega_{2}$		3 60e-18	0.00e.00	2.20e.03
70	$O_2(\Delta)$	$+ O_2$		* 02	$+ O_2$	$+ \Omega$	4 00e-15	0.00e.00	0.00e.00
71	$O(^{1}D)$	$+ N_2$		* 0	$+ \mathbf{N}_2$		1.000 13	0.00e.00	-1 10e 02
71. 72	$O(^{1}D)$	$+ \Omega_2$		* 0	$+ \Omega_{2}(h)$		3.20 - 11		-7 00e 01
14.		· O 2		U U	$+ O_2(0)$		J.200-11	0.000 00	

Table 1. Listing of the Reactions Used in the Present Study (cont.) (Rate Coefficients are in the form $A(300/T)^n exp(-\epsilon/T) cm^3 s^{-1}$, with T as the temperature)

	X	Reactions	5		1 ()	,	А	n	ε
				*)1			4.00 11	0.00.00	0.00.00
73.	$O(^{1}D)$	$+ N_2O$		^ N ₂	$+O_2$		4.90e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
/4.	$O(^{1}D)$	$+ N_2O$		^ NO	+ NO		6./0e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
/5. 76	$O(^{1}D)$	$+O_3$		$^{\circ} O_2$	$+ O_2(c)$		6.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
/6.	$O(^{2}D)$	$+O_3$		$^{\circ} O_2$	$+ O_2(b)$		6.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
77.	$O(^{1}D)$	$+O_3$		$^{\circ}$ O ₂	$+O_2$	+0	1.20e-10	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
78.	NO	$+O_3$		$^{\circ}$ NO ₂	$+O_2$		2.00e-12	0.00e 00	1.40e 03
79.	NO_2	+ 0		^ NO	$+O_2$		9.00e-12	0.00e 00	-1.20e 02
80.	NO_2	+ hv		* NO	+ O		1.70e 00	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
81.	NO	+ O	$+ N_2$	* NO ₂	$+ N_2$		1.35e-30	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
82.	NO_2	+ O	$+ N_2$	* NO ₃	$+ N_2$		9.00e-31	2.00e 00	0.00e 00
83.	NO_3	+ O		* O ₂	$+ NO_2$		1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
84.	NO_3	+NO		$* NO_2$	$+ NO_2$		1.50e-11	0.00e 00	-1.70e 02
85.	NO ₃	$+ NO_2$	$+N_{2}$	* N ₂ O5	$+ N_2$		2.00e-30	3.90e 00	0.00e 00
86.	N_2O_5	+ hv		* NO ₃	$+ NO_2$		2.78e-02	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
87.	$O_2(b)$	$+ N_2$		* O ₂	$+ N_2$		2.00e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
88.	$O_2(b)$	$+ O_2$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$		3.90e-17	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
89.	$O_2(b)$	$+ O_3$		* 0	$+ O_2$	$+ O_2$	1.60e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
90.	$O_2(b)$	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_3$		6.60e-12	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
91.	$O_2(b)$	+ O		* O ₂	+ O		8.00e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
92.	$O_2(b)$	$+ N_2O$		* N ₂	$+ O_3$		2.00e-12	0.00e 00	1.00e 03
93.	$O_2(b)$	$+ N_2O$	$+ N_2$	* NO ₂	+NO	$+ N_{2}$	5.00e-34	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
94.	$O_2(c)$	$+ O_3$		* O ₂	$+ O_2$	+ O	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
95.	$O_2(c)$	$+ N_2$		* O ₂ (b)	$+ N_2$		1.00e-15	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
96.	$O_2(c)$	$+ O_2$		* O ₂ (b)	$+ O_2$		3.00e-14	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
97.	$O_2(c)$	$+ N_2O$		* N ₂	$+ O_3$		4.00e-13	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
98.	N_2O	$+ O_3$	$+ N_2$	* N ₂ O.O ₃	$+ N_2$		4.00e-33	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
99.	$N_2O.O_3$	$+ N_2$		* N ₂ O	$+ O_3$		1.00e-11	0.00e 00	2.44e 03
100.	$N_2O.O_3$	$+h\nu$		* NO	+NO	$+ O_2$	5.00e-01	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
101.	$N_{2}O.O_{3}$	$+h\nu$		* N ₂	$+ O_2$	$+ O_2$	5.00e-01	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
102.	N ₂ O	+ O	$+N_2$	* N ₂ O.O	$+ N_{2}^{2}$	-	4.00e-33	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
103.	$N_2O.O$	$+N_2$	-	$* N_2O$	$+ \tilde{O}$	$+ N_{2}$	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	2.09e 03
104.	$N_2 O.O$	$+$ \tilde{O}		$* N_2O$	$+ O_2$	-	1.00e-11	0.00e 00	0.00e 00
105.	$N_2 O.O$	$+O_3$		* N ₂ 000*	$+ \tilde{O_2}$		8.00e-12	0.00e 00	2.06e 03
106.	N ₂ O.O	$+O_3$		* N ₂ O	$+ O_2$	$+ O_2$	7.20e-11	0.00e 00	2.06e 03
107.	N ₂ 000*	5		* N ₂	$+ \tilde{O_3}$	-	1.00e 10	0.00e 00	0.00e 00

Table 1. Listing of the Reactions Used in the Present Study (cont.) (Rate Coefficients are in the form $A(300/T)^n exp(-\epsilon/T) cm^3 s^{-1}$, with T as the temperature)

Explanatory notes:

The following twenty six species were considered: N₂O, O₃, O(¹D), O, O₂(c¹ Σ), O₂(b¹ Σ), O₂(¹ Δ), O₂, O₂(ν) with ν ranging from 23 to 12, N₂O7O₃, N₂O7O, NO, NO₂, NO₃, N₂O₅. All photo rates are in the unit of the total photodissociation rate for O₃. *Many of the reactions are purely hypothetical and their rate coefficients have been stretched to the limit.* This has been done to show that the rapid destruction of N₂O observed by Black et al cannot be explained by conceivable gas phase reactions even after stretching the reaction rate coefficient and products to the limit whenever possible.

Reactions 1 to 14: It is assumed that 90% of the O₃ photodissociation at 254 nm produce $O(^{1}D)$ and $O_{2}(^{1}\Delta_{g})$, while the remaining 10% produce highly vibrationally excited O₂ with $v \le 23$ and $O(^{3}P)$. Vibrational levels below v = 12 were not considered since they may not destroy N₂O. It was thus assumed that once v = 12 is deactivated it is no different from O₂ in the v = 0 vibrational state for our purpose. The nascent vibrational state population was based on Patten et al. [25] study. The total J-value (=2.17x10⁻³ s⁻¹) was a parameter determined by fitting the experimental data.

Reactions 15 to 38: The rate coefficients for the deactivation of O_2 vibrational levels (14 $\leq v \leq 23$) by N_2 and O_2 via both one and two quantum processes were taken from Mack et al. [19] Two gaps in their data were filled in by pure guesses. The same for the vibrational levels v = 12 and 13 were from Park and Slanger [18].

Reactions 39 to 50: Assumed on the basis of Park and Slanger [18].

Reactions 51 to 62: The estimates for the rate constants of the reactions (51) through (62) in the Table are based on the following two assumptions. It is assumed that termolecular insertion of N₂O into O₂ is possible when O₂ is vibrating with a large vibrational quantum number leading to a large internuclear distance between the two O-atoms and approaching N₂O sideways. It is also assumed that species resulting from this termolecular process is the same as the N₂O₃ specie formed by the termolecular association between NO and NO₂ and it therefore almost immediately collisionally break apart. The rate constant for this termolecular association was assumed to be 10^{-35} cm⁶ s⁻¹. With this assumption the effective rate constant for the reactive removal of O₂(high *v*) by N₂O is at the 1% level of the rate constants for removal by the v-v transfer process measured by Mack et al. [19].

Reaction 63: From Demore et al. [26]

Reactions 64 and 65: The $O_2(c^1\Sigma)$ may be produced in Black et al. experiments by the O, O recombination. The choice for the probability of the $O_2(c)$ formation in O, O recombination was based upon the results of airglow studies by Bates [27], and by Lopez-Gonzales et al. [28]

Reactions 66 and 67: The reaction between O_3 and O leading to the formation of two O_2 molecules is highly exothermic by about 4.06 ev. The exothermicity of the bimolecular reactions between a triatomic molecule and an atom usually ends up in the vibrational energy of the newly formed bond. Vibrationally highly excited OH radicals from the O_3 + H reaction, or the vibrationally excited ClO radicals from the O_3 + Cl reactions are examples of this phenomenon. It is, therefore, logical to speculate that one of the O_2 molecule from the O_3 + O reaction might be vibrationally highly excited. Here it has been assumed that 75% of the O_3 + O reactions lead to one $O_2(v = 23)$. This is an extreme assumption, made solely to underscore the key point that highly vibrationally excited O_2 could not have caused the significant loss of N₂O in the Black et al experiment.

Reactions 68 to 91: Excepting the J-values for the reactions 80 and 86, the rate constants are either directly from or are based on DeMore et al.'s [26] recommendations. The J-values for the reactions 80 and 86 are based on the cross sections tabulated by DeMore et al. [26] and the relative intensity of the lamp-output as a function of the wavelength provided by Dr. R. L. Sharpless [Private communication, 1997]. The relative intensity was put on an absolute scale by requiring the total O₃ photodissociation rate at 254 nm to be 2.17×10^{-3} s⁻¹ as explained earlier. Note that the results of this study are quite insensitive to the errors in the J-values for the reactions 80 and 86.

Reactions 92 and 93: As discussed by Prasad [20].

Reactions 94 to 97: The rate coefficients for the deactivation of $O_2(c)$ by O_2 are from Kenner and Ogryzlo [29]. The same for N_2 is, however, an estimate assuming that it is probably similar to the rate constant for the deactivation of $O_2(A^3\Sigma)$ by N_2 measured by Kenner and Ogryzlo [30]. It has been also assumed that a reaction between $O_2(c)$ and N_2O (i.e., the reaction (97) of the Table 1) occurs and its rate coefficient is the same as the rate coefficient for the quenching of $O_2(A)$ by N_2O measured by Kenner and Ogryzlo [29]. These assumptions are extreme. Even so, they were made solely to show that neither $O_2(high v)$ nor $O_2(c)$ can account for the substantive loss of N_2O in the Black et al experiment, even with extremely favorable assumptions.

Reactions 98 to 101: The rate constant for the reaction (98) and (99) are pure guesses and are also exaggerated for the reasons already stated. The absorption cross section of the $N_2O.O_3$ species at the 254 nm radiation from the Hg-lamp was assumed to be the same as that of the free O₃. Reactions 100 and 101 assume that when created within the $N_2O \cdot O_3$ species O(¹D) can react with N_2O in stead of running the great risk of being quenched by the large N_2 concentration as would be case with 'free' O(¹D) from the photodissociation of a 'free' O₃ molecule (Prasad [20]).

Reactions 102 to 103: Remarks similar to those for the reactions 98 and 99 apply.

Reaction 104: The rate coefficient is a pure guess designed to show that all conceivable gas phase processes for the destruction of N_2O in Black et al. experiment are inadequate.

Reactions 105 to 107: Reactions 105 and 106 assume that when N₂O.O reacts with O₃ then a good part of the reaction exothermicity (4.01 ev) stays in the newly formed bond in the excited N₂OOO^{*} species. Thus, the excited N₂OOO^{**} could have an internal energy of almost 1 ev even if only 25% of the reaction exothermicity remains in the newly formed species. In this case, the reaction (106) may occur, assuming that the excited N₂OOO^{*} is the same as the transition state involved in the production of N₂O from excited ozone discussed by Prasad [13], Prasad et al [14] and experimentally observed by Zipf and Prasad [15]. It must be emphasized that these reactions are quite unlikely. They have been nevertheless included here due to reasons already stated several times.

REFERENCES:

(The reference to the literature citation given here includes the references cited in the main text)

- WMO , World Meteorological Organization, Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion, *Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project - Report No. 37*, 2-20, Geneva, Switzerland, 1995.
- S. W. Churchill, N. Arai, A. Matsunami, K. Doi, Y. Y. Wu and T. Hamanaka, A paper entitled "Catalytic Decomposition of N₂O in Medical Operating Room" presented at the 2001 Spring National Meeting of the Am. Inst. Chem. Eng., April 2001.
- E. S. J. Lox and B. H. Engler, in: Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis, vol. 4, Ed. G. Ertl, G. Knozinger and J. Weitkamp (VCH Verlagsgesellschsfat, Weinheim, Germany, 1997) p. 1633.
- R. W. van den Brink, M. J. F. M. Verhaak, M. M. C. Gent and A. W. Smit, "Direct Catalytic decomposition and hydrocarbon-assisted catalytic reduction of N₂O in the nitric acid industry", Project number 358510/0710 Report, November 2000,

Netherlands Energy Research Foundation ECN, Westerduinweg 3, Petten, The Netherlands. (Also available on the Web)

- 3. B. Bonn, G. Pelz and H. Baumann, Fuel 24 (1995) 165.
- 4. J. P. Redmond, J. Catal., 7 (1967) 297.
- 5. E. R. S. Winter, J. Catal. 15 (1969) 144.
- 6. L-E Åmand and B. Leckner, *Fuel*, 73 (1994) 1389.
- 7. F. S. Stone, in: Chemistry of the Solid State, Ed. W. E. Garner, (Butterworth, London, 1955), p. 394.
- K. Ebitani, M. Morukuma, J.-H. Kim and A. Morikawa, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II (1884) 377; M. Matsuoka, W.-S. Ju, K. Takahasi, H. Yamashita and M. Anpo, J. Phys. Chem. B, 104 (2000) 4911.
- 9. G., R. M. Black, Hill, R. L. Sharpless, T. G. Slanger and N. Albert, J. Photochemistry, 22 (1983) 369.
- 10. S. S. Prasad, J. Geophys. Res., 99 (1994) 5285-5294.
- 11. S. S. Prasad, J. Geophys. Res., 102 (1997) 21,527.
- 12. S. S. Prasad, E.C. Zipf, and Xupeng Zhao, J. Geophys. Res., 102 (1997) 21,537.
- 13. E. C. Zipf and S. S. Prasad, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25 (1998) 4333.
- 14. S. S. Prasad and E. C. Zipf, The Phys and Chem of the Earth, 25 (2000) 213.
- 15. S. S. Prasad, and E. C. Zipf, Chemosphere-Global Change Science, 2 (2000) 235.
- 16. H. Park and T.G. Slanger, J. Chem. Phys., 100 (1994) 287.
- 17. J. A. Mack, K. Mikulecky and A. M. Wodtke, J. Chem. Phys., 105 (1996) 4105.
- 18. S. S. Prasad, Geophys. Res. Letters, 25, (1998) 2173.
- 19. O. Kajimoto and R.J. Cvetanovic, J. Chem. Phys., 64 (1976) 1005.
- 20. D. Maric and J. T. Burrows, J. Photochem. Photobio. A: Chem., 66 (1992) 291.
- 21. E. G. Estupinan, Ph. D. Dissertation, Georgia Institute of Technology (2001).
- 22. G. Brasseur, John Orlando and Geoffrey Tyndall, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Global Change*, (Oxford University Press, Inc., 2000).
- 23. K. O. Patten, Jr., P.S. Connell, D.E. Kinnison, D.J. Wuebbles, T.G. Slanger and L. Froidevaux, J. Geophys. Res., 99 (1994) 1211.
- 24. W. B. DeMore et al, Chemical Kinetics and photochemical data for use in stratospheric modeling, Evaluation Number 12, JPL Pub. 97-4, (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, 1997.)
- 25. D. R. Bates, Planet. Space Sci., 40 (1992) 211.
- 26. M. J. Lopez-Gonzales, J. J. Lopez-Moreno and R. Rodrigo, Planet. Space Sci, 40 (1992) 913.
- 27. R. D. Kenner and E. A. Ogryzlo, Can. J. Chem 61 (1983) 921.

28. R. D. Kenner and E. A. Ogryzlo, Chem. Phys. Lett. 103 (1983) 209.